



The Board began discussing the variance request.

1. Why did you choose to measure from sidewalk than from actual right-of-way?
2. I have served on the Zoning Board of Appeals for many years and any sign request that comes before the ZBA, we always hear why don't we change the sign ordinance, this matters for the people driving.
3. Is the Dan Hoey Road diagram current and is the sidewalk edge to be expanded?
4. Sign seems reasonable, but not sure it meets criteria for granting variance, would have conditions, if approved.
5. Is sign backlit, what are the colors?
6. Are there any signs on the building facing Dan Hoey?
7. Is the sign going to be perpendicular?
8. What is going to be internally lit and will arch be lit?
9. Could pose practical difficulty if road is expanded; sign may need to be moved.
10. Could there be a temporary sign put up?
11. Practical difficulty if road is never built. Applicant is asking for a zero setback.

-Move Schmid, support Rush, based on the information provided by the applicant at the March 21, 2016 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting, the board moves to postpone the variance request until April 18, 2016 to address the following concerns:

1. Consider increasing the right-of-way setback and driveway setback

Ayes: Hansen, Schmid, Grey, Michels, Rush

Nays: none

Motion Carried

Member Rush comments about the amount of requests coming before the Board referencing signs and that there should be a separate item on the agenda for dialogue that can be discussed with the Planning Commission and City Council.

### **Adjournment**

-Move Schmid, support Hansen to adjourned at 8:37 pm

Respectfully submitted,

Brenda Tuscano  
Recording Secretary

Filing Approved, as amended: April 18, 2016